

©

കേരള സർക്കാർ
Government of Kerala
2015



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073
dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2015-17

tl cf Kk ddv

KERALA GAZETTE

അന്യാകാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ചുത്തുന്നത്
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 4 Vol. IV	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചെറായി Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	2015 മാർച്ച് 17 17th March 2015 1190 മീനം 3 3rd Meenam 1190 1936 ഫാൽഗുനം 26 26th Phalguna 1936	നമ്പർ No.	11
---------------------	--	---	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Skills Department

Labour and Skills (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 114/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th January 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Rajeev Ramakrishnan, Koppara Enterprises, Randamkutty, Kilikollur P. O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Prasad, Parankimamvila Veedu, Pooyappally P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Prasad, Worked as security by the management of Koppara Enterprises is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(2)

G O. (Rt.) No. 115/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th January 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Hantex Limited, Oottukuzhi, Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, All Kerala Textiles Workers and Staff Federation, Hantex Weavery Unit, Oottukuzhi, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of bonus to the employees/ workers, of Hantex Weavery Unit, Oottukuzhi, Thiruvananthapuram by its management is justifiable ? If not, what are the reliefs they are entitled to ?

(3)

G O. (Rt.) No. 132/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st January 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Damodaran, K., Proprietor, Thiruvathira Restaurant, Talap, Kannur District-670 002 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Pushparajan Nelliyan, Near Erinavu CRC Vayanashala, Erinavu P. O., Kannur District-670 301 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Pushparajan Nelliyan by the Proprietor, Thiruvathira Restaurant, Talap, Kannur is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(4)

G O. (Rt.) No. 139/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd February 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Mary Matha ICSE School, Puthukkad P. O., Thrissur-680 301 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Ambika Rajan, Mullakkaparambil Veedu, Pazhayi P. O., Puthukkad, Thrissur-680 301 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Ambika Rajan, Ayah by the management of Mary Matha ICSE School is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get ?

(5)

G O. (Rt.) No. 140/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd February 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Ansar Hospital, Ansar Nagar, Perumbilavu, Karikkadu P. O., Thrissur-680 519 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Thrissur District Private Hospital Workers Association (CITU), CITU House, Machingal Lane, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the demand of the Thrissur District Private Hospital Workers Association (CITU) for fixing the retirement age of the workers of M/s Ansar Hospital, Perumbilavu, Thrissur at the age of 60 years is justifiable? If yes, what are the benefits the workers are entitled to get?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 141/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd February 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Vadakara Co-operative Rural Bank Vadakara-673 101 and the worker of the above referred establishment

Smt. Beena w/o Jayachandran, Manikyam Veedu, Azhiyoor P. O., Pin-673 309 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Smt. Beena, Sales Girl by the management of Vadakara Co-operative Rural Bank, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

MADHU, K.

Deputy Secretary to Government.